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The Internet has become the most popular medium utilized by the general population, especially by children and adolescents. It is as common for children to use a computer as it is to play with their favorite toys. Studies have shown that the percentage of students using the Internet has increased dramatically, for example from 24.5% to 79.5% between 1996 and 2001 in the US (Odell et al, 2000). The number of Internet users who go online regularly had surpassed 1.5 billion in 2009 – 19% of them in China alone (Flisher, 2010).

Converging modern technologies, such as cellular phones and the Internet, have allowed people to affordably communicate at long distances as well as facilitating many tasks, including science, commerce, shopping, paying bills, taking educational classes, working, and keeping in touch with loved ones. Children and adolescents also use the Internet for socializing and for leisure activities such as watching movies and television programs, listening to music, and playing online games. The Internet has made life more convenient, saves time and shortens the distance between people; as it becomes pervasive in the lives of youth, it presents risks and opportunities.

The negative impact of Internet usage on individuals and their lives is often underestimated. Brown (2006) suggested that the choice of media individuals make is influenced by their character and the way they interact with the world; in turn, the way individuals interact with the media will be incorporated into their daily life, influence their behavior and views and even the development of their brain. Children and adolescents are more susceptible to trade off their real life activities with virtual reality ones. Young people are also more prone to use the Internet as a form of socialization without realizing the negative impact that may carry. Thus, when Internet use starts to take up a large portion of children’s and adolescents’ time, affect their mood, and jeopardize academic and social function and performance, Internet usage may become a problem that may require intervention.

Excessive Internet use in adults has been associated with failed marriages, unemployment, neglected children, and sleep deprivation (Young, 1998). Young’s research on problematic internet usage (PIU) has documented associated symptoms and problems, including losing control, craving and withdrawal, social isolation, academic failure, financial problems, job loss, and marital discord. Moreover, spending more than 18 hours a day online may lead to physical problems such as back pain, eye strain and carpal tunnel syndrome (Young, 1998). Internet addiction is considered a public health issue in South Korea (Block, 2008), where 10 cardiopulmonary-related deaths in Internet cafes (Choi, 2007) and a game-related murder (Koh, 2007) have been reported. In addition, Internet videogames are now more sophisticated, more violent, and often incorporate multiple players when compared to online games two decades ago (Anand, 2007). A negative correlation has been reported between time spent playing Internet games and academic performance, and a positive association between violent Internet game-playing and aggression (Anderson & Dill, 2000). Not only does excessive Internet use have a negative impact on the mental and physical health of children and adolescents with PIU, it might also increase risk of violence in this age group.

Is Internet addiction an illness?

Since Internet addiction has not formally been accepted as a disorder yet, we will use problematic internet use or PIU as the term to designate Internet-related behaviors that cause significant psychosocial impairment. So, is Internet addiction...
an illness? One current of thought upholds that PIU merits our attention since children and adolescents are more likely to indulge most of their time in Internet games, activities, and social networking at the expense of their school work and sports, and PIU can arise from involvement in a range of online activities (Beard, 2005; Davis, 2001; Griffiths et al, 2000; King et al, 2009; Young, 1996). Block (2008) has recently highlighted the increasing incidence of Internet addiction and its high comorbidity with other psychiatric conditions. He also proposed the inclusion of “Internet addiction disorder” in the forthcoming Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V). David Greenfield (1999) also considers Internet addiction a form of addictive disorder, pointing out that individuals with PIU tend to show symptoms of withdrawal and tolerance. Governments such as South Korea have declared Internet addiction a serious problem and a public health hazard (Block, 2008); Chinese psychologists also support Internet addiction to be recognized as a formal disorder by the World Health Organization (Flisher, 2010).

Some experts, however, do not see Internet use as an illness. They perceive Internet use as a medium for communicating and of avoiding dealing with underlying problems (Bell, 2009; Shaffer et al, 2000). For example, they believe depression or social anxiety to be the core issues in problematic Internet use rather than a new disorder itself (Bell, 2009; Shaffer et al, 2000). Moreover, some forensic psychiatrists believe that we should treat online gamblers primarily as gamblers rather than classifying them as Internet addicts (Lenihan, 2007). Therefore, more evidence is required to clarify whether PIU should be looked upon as a separate disorder.

Definition and diagnosis

The first diagnostic criteria for Internet addiction were proposed by Young (1996) – a modified version of the DSM-IV criteria for substance dependence – since there are similarities between the tolerance and withdrawal symptoms of Internet use and those of substance use. She also developed an Internet Addiction

Box H.6.1 Young’s diagnostic questionnaire for Internet addiction (adapted from Young, 1998)

Diagnosis suggested if there are five or more “yes” answers to:

• Do you feel preoccupied with the Internet (think about previous online activity or anticipate next online session)?
• Do you feel the need to use the Internet for increasing amounts of time in order to achieve satisfaction?
• Have you repeatedly made unsuccessful efforts to control, cut back, or stop Internet use?
• Do you feel restless, moody, depressed, or irritable when attempting to cut down or stop Internet use?
• Do you stay online longer than originally intended?
• Have you jeopardized or risked the loss of significant relationship, job, educational or career opportunity because of the Internet?
• Have you lied to family members, therapist, or others to conceal the extent of involvement with the Internet?
• Do you use the Internet as a way of escaping from problems or of relieving a dysphoric mood (e.g. feelings of helplessness, guilt, anxiety, depression)?
Problematic Internet use

*Questionnaire* (Young, 1998) (Box H.6.1). However, evidence of its reliability and validity is lacking.

The term “Internet addiction” was changed to the less controversial “problematic Internet use” (PIU) by Shapira et al (2000). PIU can be defined as showing:

- A maladaptive preoccupation with Internet use, experienced as irresistible, for periods of time longer than intended
- Significant distress or impairment resulting from Internet use
- Absence of other psychiatric pathology that might explain the excessive Internet use, such as mania or hypomania.

PIU can also be classified into *specific* and *generalized* (Davis, 2001). *Specific* PIU refers to particular content that exists independently from the Internet, such as gambling and video games, whereas *generalized* PIU refers to specific Internet content including chat rooms, e-mail, and social networks such as Facebook and Twitter.

In our opinion, Internet use should be considered problematic or an addiction when children or adolescents exhibit the following characteristics:

- Salience: prominent use of the Internet
- Mood modification: moody when not being able to use the Internet
- Tolerance: need to spend more time on the Internet
- Withdrawal: feeling agitated and irritable when the Internet is inaccessible
- Conflict: conflict with family and friends when not able to access the Internet
- Relapse: fails to stay away from using the Internet after a period of abstinence from Internet use.

Common clinical presentations include a loss of sense of time or neglect of basic needs while using the Internet; becoming angry, restless and moody

**Box H.6.2 Ko et al's (2005) proposed diagnostic criteria for Internet addiction**

A. Six or more of:

1. Preoccupation with Internet activities
2. Recurrent failure to resist the impulse to use the Internet
3. Tolerance: a marked increase in Internet use needed to achieve satisfaction.
4. Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following: a) dysphoric mood, anxiety, irritability, and boredom after several days without Internet activity; b) use of the Internet to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.
5. Use of the Internet for a period of time longer than intended.
6. Persistent desire and/or unsuccessful attempts to cut down or reduce Internet use.
7. Excessive time spent on Internet activities.
8. Excessive effort spent on activities necessary to obtain access to the Internet.
9. Continued heavy Internet use despite knowledge of physical or psychological problem caused or exacerbated by Internet use.

B. Functional impairment. One or more of:

1. Recurrent Internet use resulting in a failure to fulfill major obligations.
2. Impairments in social relationships.
3. Behavior violating school rules or laws due to Internet use.

C. The Internet addictive behavior is not better accounted for by another disorder.

**Activities included in problematic Internet use (Davis, 2001)**

*Specific*

- Net compulsion (online gambling addiction)
- Online game playing addiction

*General*

- Net compulsion (online shopping addiction)
- Online research addiction.
- Cybersexual addiction
- Cyber-relational addiction (chat rooms: social networking, e.g., Facebook, Twitter; personal messaging; and e-mail addiction).
when unable to use the Internet; needing better and more computer equipment and software and more time online; showing more negative behaviors associated with online activities such as lying and starting arguments, impaired academic performance and social relationships (Beard et al, 2001).

Ko et al (2005) proposed diagnostic criteria for Internet addiction (Box H.6. 2) and tested them in 468 Taiwanese high school students and 216 Taiwanese college students. These criteria showed good specificity (97%) and sensitivity (86%). However, larger studies on the validity and reliability of the diagnostic criteria for PIU are still needed.

There are several scales available to evaluate the severity of PIU. The more commonly used scales include the Problematic Internet Use Questionnaire (PIUQ) (Thatcher et al, 2005), the Internet Addiction Test (IAS) (Young, 1998), the Goldberg Internet Addiction Disorder Scale (GIAD) (Armstrong et al, 2000), the Chen Internet Addiction Scale (Yen et al, 2008) (CIAS), and the French Orman Internet Stress Scale (ISS) (Valleur & Velea, 2002). The characteristics of these scales are summarized in Table H.6.1.

**Epidemiology**

No reliable data exist on the global prevalence of this phenomenon. Estimates vary from country to country and from study to study. It has been estimated that 1%-18% of adolescents have PIU in both Western and Eastern societies (Cao et al, 2007; Jang et al, 2008). More specifically, about 1%-2% of Italian students have moderate to severe PIU (Poli & Agrimi, in press), 1%-12% of children and adolescents in Middle Eastern countries (Canan et al, 2010) and 2%-18% of children and adolescents in Asian countries appear to have PIU (Cao & Su, 2007). Small scale studies in Korea and China revealed that around 2% (210,000) of South Korean children aged 6 to 19 years have PIU and many may require treatment (Choi, 2007), while around 10 million adolescent Internet users in China may meet criteria for Internet addiction (Cao & Su, 2007).

Previous studies had shown that adolescents with problematic Internet use tend to be boys, have poorer academic performance and are more likely to access Internet at home and Internet cafes than in school settings (Kormas et al, 2011).

---

**Table H.6.1. Scales to measure Internet misuse**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
<th>Target population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problematic Internet Use Questionnaire (Thatcher &amp; Goolam, 2005)</td>
<td>Quantitative 20 items</td>
<td>5-point Likert scale</td>
<td>Adolescents Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Addiction Scale (Young, 1998)</td>
<td>Quantitative 20 items</td>
<td>5-point Likert scale</td>
<td>Adolescents Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Addiction Disorder Scale (Goldberg, 2000)</td>
<td>Qualitative 11 items</td>
<td>Meeting &gt;2 criteria indicates Internet addiction</td>
<td>Adolescents Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Addiction Scale (Chen, 2005)</td>
<td>Quantitative 26 items</td>
<td>4-point Likert scale</td>
<td>Adolescents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Stress Scale (Valleur &amp; Velea, 2002)</td>
<td>Quantitative 9 items</td>
<td>Score &gt; 4 suggest addiction risk</td>
<td>Adolescents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individuals with PIU are also more likely to use Internet to converse in chat rooms, play interactive games, and access sexual information, and are less likely to use Internet for educational purposes (Kormas et al, 2011). Adolescents with PIU also have more behavior problems such as hyperactivity, conduct problems and worse overall psychosocial maladjustment than their peers (Kormas et al, 2011).

Pathophysiology

Researches have shown that some of the behavioral and neural characteristics of individuals with PIU are similar to those of individuals diagnosed with pathological gambling and substance use disorders (Ko et al, 2009). This is further supported by a recent functional magnetic resonance imaging study where a cue-induced online gaming urge activated similar brain areas as those activated by craving for drugs in people with substance addiction (Ko et al, 2009). Increased activation in the orbitofrontal cortex in gain trials and decreased activation in the anterior cingulate cortex in loss trials have been described in individuals affected with PIU (Dong et al, in press). Individuals with PIU also exhibit poorer executive control and poorer impulse control than non-affected individuals; for example affected individuals had longer reaction times and more response errors than their counterparts in the Stroop Test (Dong et al, 2011).

Interaction between environmental and genetic factors appears to play an important role in the development of PIU (see Figure H.6.1). The current understanding of addiction suggests that some individuals may be more susceptible to PIU than others due to their genetic vulnerabilities, but other factors are needed for those individuals to eventually develop Internet addiction or problems with Internet use.

Another hypothesis suggests that children and adolescents with PIU initially engage in Internet activities by trying to solve a problem, avoid stress or cope with an unwanted feeling, such as anxious or depressive mood (Lin & Tsai, 2002). Addiction to the Internet may then develop during this process.

![Figure H.6.1. Pathophysiology of problematic Internet use](image.png)

Like for most psychiatric disorders, it is hypothesized that PIU follows the bio-psycho-social model. Individuals who develop Internet addiction may have genetic vulnerability for addictive disorders (e.g., substance use disorders, pathological gambling) and other psychiatric conditions such as ADHD and depression. The risk for PIU may also increase when individuals experience rewarding experiences through Internet activities such as online gaming.

Negative Consequences of PIU
- Impaired academic performance
- Impaired social interaction/family relations
- Decreased self-perceived acceptance by peers
- Increased drinking in males
- Lower self-worth in females

PIU-associated psychiatric symptoms and comorbidity
- Hostility
- Depression, irritability or mood changes
- Phobic anxiety
- Daytime sleepiness or sleep deprivation

Risk factors for PIU
- Greater hours per week spent online
- Increased need for social network
- Low self-esteem
- Poor quality of family relationships
- Loneliness
Onset of PIU is most likely during late childhood and early adolescence (Pridgen, 2010). Adolescence is a period of biological, psychological and social change and navigating these changes is stressful for many youth. Adolescents with emotional and behavioral disorders are also more vulnerable to the negative effects of Internet use (Pridgen, 2010). Furthermore, affected youth often deny their problems with Internet use, denial being one of the main factors in maintaining PIU. Denial enables adolescents to continue engaging in Internet usage in spite of obvious negative consequences; it is also a way to protect themselves from seeing or feeling things that are unpleasant. Owing to denial, the impact of one’s Internet usage is seldom fully appreciated until the consequences become serious.

Some studies suggest that children and adolescents with ADHD (Yoo et al, 2004), depression, and those who are socially isolated (Armstrong et al, 2000) are at higher risk of PIU. PIU shares some risk factors with impulsive-compulsive spectrum disorders and addictions such as pathological gambling and substance use disorder (Yen et al, 2008). A recent US study of Internet use among young adolescents and adults showed that most males used the Internet on a weekly basis, while most females had not played more than a single Internet game in a year (Padilla-Walker et al, 2010). They also found that Internet video game playing, regardless of gender, tends to associate with negative social behaviors such as recreational drugs use, alcohol drinking, and impaired interpersonal relationships. Internet use for chat rooms, shopping, entertainment, pornography, and videogames are further associated with drinking, illicit drugs use, greater number of sexual partners and lower self-worth. Moreover, playing violent Internet games is associated with having more sexual partners and poorer quality of interpersonal relationships in this group. On the other hand, when Internet use is for academic purposes, there is a positive correlation with less substance use, greater self-worth, and better parent-child relationships (Padilla-Walker et al, 2010).

**TIM**

Tim, a 15 year-old boy, was brought to the psychiatric clinic by his parents due to his spending excessive time on the Internet and deterioration in his daily activities, performance at school and relationships with peers. Tim was first introduced to the Internet at school when he was working on an assignment in 3rd grade. In the last 2 years, he had joined online social networks such as Facebook and MySpace, where most of his friends share their activities and thoughts. He also prefers using text messages to telephone calls when he wants to communicate with his friends. He enjoys online role-playing games and often feels obligated to be online when his game-partners are also online. He spends more than 12 hours per day (90 hours per week) online, even cutting down his sleeping time. His grades have dropped recently and he has started to skip classes to be on the Internet. He feels happier and more empowered when online—he does not have to think about his grades and problems. Tim finds schoolwork increasingly difficult. He has more arguments with his parents, and quarrels are often associated with his Internet use. Thus, he has started lying to them about the hours he spends online. He feels restlessness, irritable and unhappy when at school or somewhere else away from the computer. When he first walked into the clinic, he told the psychiatrist “I know I should cut down on the hours I spend online and concentrate on my school work, but I just can’t. I feel so anxious and joyless when not online.” Tim feels his life is a failure, and that his parents dislike him. He had thought about suicide, but says he does not have the courage to do it. Tim’s depressed mood had worsened in the previous 2 months, since the beginning of the new semester. The psychiatrist diagnosed Tim with depression and problematic Internet use.
TREATMENT

There are currently no treatments for PIU that are supported by evidence, which is not surprising considering that it is not yet an officially accepted diagnosis. No pharmacologic or psychotherapeutic intervention has received adequate testing in randomized controlled trials. Most interventions suggested for PIU are based on personal clinical experience, small anecdotal studies or trials without randomized double blind design. A recent systematic review showed several limitations in available clinical trials (King et al, 2011). These include:

• Inconsistencies in definition and diagnosis
• Lack of randomization and blinding
• Lack of adequate control groups, and
• Insufficient information concerning recruitment, sample characteristics, and treatment effect size.

These shortcomings are highlighted in Table H.6.2, which summarizes the characteristics of Internet addiction treatment studies currently available.

While there is no agreement on the diagnostic criteria for Internet addiction or PIU, there seems to be a demand for treatment for these problems, especially in China, Taiwan, and South Korea (King et al, 2011). Interventions for PIU range widely from boot-camp style programs in Eastern countries to clinics specializing in the psychological treatments including CBT, family and group therapy, social skills training, and addiction counseling (King et al, 2011). Programs often extrapolate treatments used for substance abuse.

Before going into details about intervention, a careful clinical evaluation and assessment of comorbid conditions is essential. For example, a depressed child may start leading a virtual life to boost his self-esteem at the expense of offline interactions and duties, hence treating the depression will be a priority in this child’s treatment plan.

There has been no double-blind placebo-controlled study on the pharmacotherapy for PIU. Thus no specific medication can be recommended at this stage, although a wide range of them has already been tried, as summarized in Table H.6.2. The only randomized controlled study published so far allocated 56 adolescents aged 12 to 17 years into either active treatment (8-sessions of multimodal school-based group CBT) or no treatment (Du et al, 2010). While Internet use decreased in both groups, the active treatment group improved more in the areas of time management, emotional, cognitive, and behavior symptoms.

Children and adolescents affected with PIU may benefit from family based interventions, although there is no evidence so far that this is the case (Yen et al, 2007). For example, family therapy may help to improve communication within the family and teach Internet techniques to monitor Internet use better (Yen et al, 2007). Ironically, PIU may also improve with online educational programs (King et al, 2011). There are several online services, mostly based on the ‘12 step’ self-help treatment philosophy.

Although other management options, such as intensive and expensive residential treatments, have received much attention from the media and the public in some countries, there are no empirical data about their effectiveness.

Behavioral strategies for clinicians and self-help tips for dealing with PIU

• Help patients identify their pattern of Internet use using a logbook.
• Set clear, specific goals with the patients.
• Limit and shorten Internet usage time. External prompts, such as timers may be helpful to remind them when it is time to log off.
• Negotiate a computer free day once each week.
• Write down negative consequences of Internet use on reminder cards and encourage patients to carry and read them.
• Help patients make a list of hobbies or interests they used to enjoy.
• Increase time spent on sports, hobbies and other non-Internet activities
• Join a PIU support group.

Websites that offer help for problematic Internet use

www.netaddiction.com
http://www.netaddictionrecovery.com
www.onlineaddiction.com.au
TIM (continuation)

The psychiatrist worked together with Tim to devise a plan of action. This entailed asking Tim to keep a diary, particularly noting the time spent online, homework and house duties as well as other activities, and to rate his mood every day. Once a baseline was obtained, they worked on ways to increase participation in activities Tim used to enjoy apart from the Internet.

With Tim’s agreement, his parents were invited to participate in the sessions and encouraged to discuss activities and hobbies they could do with Tim and rewards for time offline. After discussion, it was agreed by Tim and his parents to move Tim’s computer from his bedroom to a more public space in the lounge room, that Monday would be an Internet free day for the whole family and a reward for Tim every time he was successful in doing that. Once this was in place, Tim agreed to limit his Internet use to one hour per day on the remaining weekdays and 2 hours on weekends. After a month of treatment, Tim’s depressed mood had improved and he had reduced substantially his time online, although with some relapses. He began to be involved again in outdoor activities with his family and friends and there were fewer arguments at home. Temptations to use the Internet remained, particularly when frustrated with homework or after arguments with his parents.
Course and outcome

Due to the ready accessibility of the Internet, and the need to use it in modern life, relapse rates are high. Many adults with PIU started using the Internet when they were in grade school and Internet use usually became problematic without the affected individual being aware of it. However, little is still known about its natural history. Uncontrolled and anecdotal studies suggest that Internet addiction is often resistant to treatment and has high relapse rates.

Prevention

Online gaming seems to be a particular problem in many Asian countries, where much of the online gaming commercial promotion targets children and adolescents. Asian adolescents often face tremendous academic pressure, while online gaming can temporarily provide a stress-free virtual reality for many. Schools, governments and health departments should encourage research on this problem to clarify its causes and promote preventative interventions. For example, South Korea, a country in which multiplayer online games are very popular, has decided to introduce a ban from midnight to 6:00AM for Internet use by children and adolescents less than 18 years of age (Block, 2008). The South Korean government also has a system that slows down the Internet connection of Internet game players who played for more than 6 hours. China is another country experiencing problems with Internet use. The Chinese government restricts daily Internet game use among youth by demanding online game operators to set up a “game fatigue system” that encourages players under 18 to play less than 3 hours a day since 2007 (Block, 2008).

Conclusion

The Internet has revolutionized human life, is here to stay and has many positive aspects that can enhance youth learning and empowerment. The younger generations are growing up with and making Internet an integral part of their lives, however, in a few instances, Internet use becomes problematic. Is this a disorder that requires treatment or an example of medicalization of a social phenomenon? Because Internet addiction or problematic Internet use is not recognized by DSM-IV and ICD-10, the research evidence is very limited yet. This situation will need to change if we are to provide answers supported by evidence to the questions posed in this chapter.
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