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Health professionals meet families with infants and young children in many different circumstances, from the family home to acute hospital settings. The extent to which mental health and development is the focus of assessment and intervention will be determined by the setting and the family and their presenting concerns. Children’s social and emotional wellbeing and physical health and development cannot be considered in isolation or separately from the quality of family relationships and social and cultural context. Wherever they live and whichever culture they are born into, all babies depend on warm, responsive, language rich, protective environments in which to grow and develop. The quality of care that small children receive is critical to their survival, growth and psychological development (WHO, 2004).

How families and communities meet children’s developmental needs varies greatly across cultures and communities. For example, the small family group typical of many western nations differs greatly from the multiple caretaking arrangements in many other parts of the world.

This chapter outlines a framework for assessing infants, young children and their families. It provides an approach to understanding and formulating their difficulties that can be adapted to a range of settings. No matter what the presenting issue, a comprehensive assessment always includes factors that contribute to resilience and vulnerability in the child, the parents and wider family, and the social and cultural context. This information is used to inform and focus interventions. Assessment of risk (e.g., developmental risk, risk of harm to the infant or the caregiver) is also part of all infant and early childhood health and mental health assessments. The aims of this chapter are: to enhance the interest and ability of health professionals to assess mental health and developmental issues in all their dealings with families who present during this period of rapid developmental change, and to introduce the clinical field of infant mental health, an area of research and practice that has developed substantially over the last 30 years.

THE IMPORTANCE OF EARLY RELATIONSHIPS

There is increasing evidence of the infant’s capacity and motivation for social interaction, exploration of the environment and learning from birth. The infant “self” or “person” emerges in the context of early caregiving relationships during the transition from almost total dependence on caregivers towards independence and early self-regulation. Infants are born ready to relate, and the family has a crucial part in facilitating and supporting infants’ development throughout the early years. Their capacity to do this affects the strengths and vulnerabilities infants will carry for their lifetime.

Parenting quality also has a developmental impact. The child’s carers are vital in supporting the unfolding of the infant’s social and emotional capacities. The family (infant, caregivers, and siblings) exists within a network of relationships and culture that includes their social and physical circumstances of the family. These can either support or undermine the family’s quality of life and relationships. The infant is genetically and biologically programmed for development but particular environmental experiences are required at specific times - known as sensitive and critical periods for optimal development - and early support and adversity influence...
how the baby’s genetic endowment is expressed and realised. The lifetime effects of adversity in childhood are now well recognised (Anda et al., 2006).

The first year involves the development of the basics for language and the establishment of attachment relationships. The second year of life involves two major achievements (i) language and symbolic play, and (ii) mobility. Mobility allows children to explore and develop cognitively and to develop independence from the caretaker. The toddler experiments with separation and develops a sense of identity and autonomy. During the third and fourth years of life children consolidate, refine and expand these abilities into a sense of self in relation to others and their place in the world (see Chapter A.2, Table A.2.1).

Early Relationships – The Cultural Context

Children are raised within a range of family and community constellations and multiple caretaking arrangements are widespread cross-culturally. A focus on understanding children’s relational networks and their adaptive value in particular family and community environments is an essential element of assessment. There is an expanding literature identifying the core elements of adequate care for infants and how this is provided in different cultures. This includes how children’s needs are met and by whom. It also considers how a child’s sense of self, and their developing sense of agency and obligation, is supported and will vary depending on the community where they are raised and how they are socialized (Keller, 2016).

Health workers have an obligation to consider how their own cultural and family experiences may influence their perceptions of and expectations about what appropriate care for children involves. This is particularly true when working in communities where there is cultural and linguistic diversity. Given high rates of
voluntary migration and the vast number of displaced people across the world, cultural competence and sensitivity are increasingly necessary.

**ATTACHMENT THEORY**

Attachment theory (see also Chapter A.2) was developed by John Bowlby from a range of previously separate and diverse areas of knowledge (Bowlby, 1969). It is an integrated body of theory and practice that enables links to be made between behaviour and inner representations of relationships, and between the experiences of one generation and the care they will provide to the next—that is, trans-generational aspects of parenting. Attachment can be defined as an enduring emotional bond characterised by a tendency to seek and maintain proximity to a specific figure(s), particularly when under stress. Attachment theory understands the nature of infants’ attachment to their caregivers as a primarily biologically determined phenomenon upon which survival depends. The quality of attachment relationships developed between a young child and their caregivers has a significant impact on social, emotional and cognitive development across the lifespan. The infant develops internal working models of relationships from the quality and nature of early experience with caregivers, and this influences ongoing social and emotional development. Evidence from longitudinal studies indicates that security of attachment during infancy is linked to the young child’s developing capacity for self-regulation, reciprocity and collaborative social interactions (Sroufe et al., 2005). There is also growing evidence about the contribution that attachment security makes to a child’s self-regulation, attention and concentration, and therefore readiness to learn and to make the transition to school.

Attachment theory also provides explanations for the link between observed parenting behaviour, the quality of parent and infant relationships and the later functioning of the child, socially and emotionally. Attachment scholars have developed methods to elicit and evaluate aspects of the inner representational world of the infant, child, and adult. These processes include methods for evaluating the parent’s capacity to “mentalise” or reflect on, and understand mental states. They assess the parent’s capacity to wonder about, and empathise with, the experience of their child, as well as the impact of their own mental state on their children (Fonagy et al., 1991).

Research-based methods for assessing attachment such as the Strange Situation Procedure (Ainsworth et al., 1978), the Adult Attachment Interview (George et al., 1985), the Parent Development Index (Slade, 2005), and the Working Model of the Child (Benoit et al., 1997; Vreeswijk et al., 2012) are time consuming, require extensive training and cannot be easily utilised in the clinical situation. Universally accepted clinical and diagnostic protocols for assessing attachment at different ages and for diagnosing disorders of attachment do not exist although there is a range of approaches to this in clinical settings (Crowell, 2003; Zeanah et al., 2011). Many clinicians when consulted about children’s attachments are handicapped by having little formal training in, and much uncertainty about assessing attachment clinically (Crittenden et al., 2007). For this reason, outside a research context, it is advisable to describe what is observed between child and carer rather than to use language that may imply an attachment classification or diagnosis when formal assessment has not been undertaken.

**Attachment**

An enduring emotional bond characterised by a tendency to seek and maintain proximity to a specific figure or figures, particularly when under stress.

Attachment can be:
- Organised
  - Secure
  - Insecure/ambivalent
  - Insecure/avoidant
- Disorganised
There is an emerging literature considering the application of attachment theory and classifications to families in diverse cultural contexts (Quinn & Mageo, 2013; Keller, 2013).

To date, however, there are few cross-cultural studies that consider issues of attachment disruption and disorder. This is opposed to descriptive studies that identify cultural diversity in approaches to early parenting and discuss how development, safety and exploration are supported and maintained in different cultural and community contexts.

Most infant and early childhood mental health interventions do not require formal assessment of attachment but a good understanding of attachment theory allows clinicians to assess emotional and behavioural problems from a relationship perspective. This includes a focus on the child's relationship history, and problems and strengths in the relationship between the caregivers and the child, rather than a focus on strengths or difficulties as existing within the individual child alone (Zeanah et al, 2011). The principles of assessment are summarised in Table A.4.1. (Retain from original chapter p5)

**Attachment Patterns and Disorders**

Attachment theory describes three patterns of what are called organised attachment strategies and one disorganised attachment pattern. This is known as the ABC+D model (Cassidy & Shaver, 2008) (see also Circle of Security website). Children develop these patterns of behaviour and managing feelings in response to repeated interactions with their caregivers. Such strategies are organised to maintain proximity to caregivers and to manage displays of affect particularly at times of distress. Organised attachment patterns are classified as secure, insecure/avoidant and insecure/ambivalent. Disorganised attachment behaviour is considered
to indicate that the child has failed to develop a coherent strategy for managing distress in relation to their caregiver. This most often occurs when the caregiver is simultaneously the source of comfort as well as the cause of distress and anxiety, for example in situations of child maltreatment. (see Howe 2005, Lyons-Ruth et al 2005). Attachment disorders are distinct from attachment patterns, and are currently classified in DSM-5 as “trauma and stressor related disorders”, requiring the child to have “experienced a pattern of extremes of insufficient care…” (p265). An alternative approach to the classification of attachment patterns and disorders—called the “dynamic maturational model” (DMM)—has been proposed by Crittenden (Crittenden, 2006; Landa et al, 2013). The DMM includes a comprehensive focus on the nature, function and cost of self-protective strategies that a child develops, including in relation to loss and trauma. This information can then be used to inform clinical formulation and intervention (Shah & Strathearn, 2014).

THE CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

There are a number of core principles and issues that need consideration in any assessment of a family with an infant or young child, independent of the setting in which the assessment occurs or the background of the clinician. These are summarised in Table A.4.1. The principles are drawn from clinical experience and are informed by research and theoretical understandings of infancy, early childhood and family processes. An assessment informed by these core principles enables the clinician to develop an alliance with the family in order to understand the presenting problem, and decide where intervention and assistance are best targeted.

The Setting for the Clinical Assessment

Assessment of infants and their families is undertaken in a wide range of settings and circumstances and so the approach will depend upon the clinician's

The parents of a two year-old girl brought her to a mental health outpatient clinic complaining that she had been “very nervous and agitated since she was one year old”. Her parents said she often became aggressive, hit her head on the wall at home and scratched herself. She would wake up stressed, refusing the bottle and scratching her mother. Her behaviour worsened when in contact with other children, so parents kept her at home. She was aggressive with adults, throwing toys on the floor or at people. They reported that she was calm when near her maternal grandfather, who did everything she wanted including things the parents considered dangerous. With strangers she was very shy, keeping her head down and not talking. The parents could not identify a precipitant for the symptoms but the onset had coincided with the child learning to walk and therefore becoming more independent. She lived with her parents and her eight year old brother.

It was apparent that parents had very different approaches to managing her. The mother had difficulty setting limits, while the father, when he was at home, punished the girl physically (hitting her with slippers). The mother said she always wanted to have a daughter whom she could “dress like a princess” and this girl had not been what she expected. The brother was very calm and obedient and had never been a problem.

The psychologist assessed the family during four weeks, interviewing the parents, observing the child alone and the interaction between children and parents. She referred the parents to a parent training program. After a few sessions, the parents found better ways to set limits and parent more consistently and the girl's behavior improved. The next step was to support parents in sending the child to day care for a few days a week, giving her the opportunity to be with other children and adults.
professional role, practice and the aims of the assessment. Visiting a family at home provides very different information from that obtained in a clinic setting. For example, a family may present only once to their local emergency department late at night when the parents are concerned their baby is unwell and won't sleep. If seen at home, the practical and financial difficulties (for example, a one-room house and noisy neighbours) that affect their ability to focus on and settle their baby might become more evident. This would alter the focus of the assessment and require a different use of the clinician's time as well as the potential engagement of

### Table A.4.1 Principles of Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assessment of risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Assessment of the immediate and longer term safety or risks to the infant, young child, and other family members is a necessary and inevitable aspect of all assessments. This focus may or may not be clear to the family, but is a key component of clinicians' responsibilities and obligations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parents want the best for their children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Almost always, parents want the best for their children and family. The clinician's role is to assist them in providing this.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Biopsychosocial framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A biopsychosocial approach ensures that physical, psychological, interpersonal, social and cultural factors that contribute to the presentation of the family and infant are examined. The physical and psychosocial wellbeing of the infant cannot be considered separately.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Developmental context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The perinatal and early childhood period is a time of transition and enormous growth for infant and family. Children develop at differing rates across a range of normal parameters and difficulties need to be understood in a developmental context. Emotional, behavioural and developmental problems presenting in infancy can have lifelong consequences but some are the manifestation of normal developmental transitions: over time, with adequate support, they will resolve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A relational approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Early development can only be understood within the caregiving context. As described above, this includes attachments and the quality of the infants' primary relationships. Although individual factors in the child or parent may contribute to current difficulties, the interaction or 'fit' between the needs and capabilities of each family member and the sources of stress and support in the family context, could determine outcome.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vulnerabilities and strengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Identifying vulnerabilities and strengths (also called risk and protective factors) helps shape and target interventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The transactional model of development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The transactional model of development (Sameroff &amp; MacKenzie, 2003) emphasises the interaction between genetic and environmental factors over time and 'the development of the child is seen as a product of the continuous dynamic interactions of the child and the experience provided by his or her family and social context' (Sameroff &amp; Fiese, 2000, p10).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
other colleagues. Assessment may occur in a mental health setting because there is concern about parental depression and its impact on the infant. Alternatively, a family may be seen regularly in an early childhood clinic, allowing observation over time as their relationships develop and the infant grows. Concerns about abuse or neglect require evaluation and inevitably involve the clinician in the difficult task of establishing rapport and cooperation with parents who feel threatened, afraid or criticised. A developmental assessment or follow-up of a family with a child with medical or developmental problems includes a more direct medical or biological focus, but nonetheless needs to consider the familial and social context. There are no clear right or wrong ways but every clinician needs to think about the advantages and limitations of the approach they take and how this may impact on the information they obtain, and to be sure to include a focus on the relationship between the infant and the parents as well as any individual presenting concerns.

Aims of the Assessment

The essential aim of assessment, whatever the context or setting, is to identify and understand the problems facing the family as individuals and as a family system, their strengths and vulnerabilities, in order to assist them in maximising the developmental potential of their child. Information obtained during the assessment may also be used for other purposes, such as research into clinical or social conditions that affect parenting and child development.

Sources of Information

An understanding of the issues and presenting problems for the family and the baby is developed through integration of information from different sources, determined in part by the clinical setting and the purpose of the assessment. Direct sources of information include:

- Clinical history provided by the referring agent and the family
- Observations of family members and their interactions
- Medical and developmental tests and investigations
- Other sources (for example, the referring agency and other services involved with the family, such as child care).

Other information may include:

- Written reports of previous assessments and interventions
- Emotional or “affective” information—including the clinician's response to and feelings about the family and their presentation
- Information (knowledge, skills and attitudes) drawn from the clinician's professional experience.

The Assessment Process

There are a number of key considerations in assessing families with infants or young children. It is important to enable parents and caregivers to explore the complex emotions related to parenting and to support them to identify obstacles that may impede their best efforts. This process is best facilitated by an approach that starts from the assumption that parents are the experts on their child and have their child’s best interests at heart. In addition, non-judgemental
listening and genuine curiosity about the problem, the family and the child are essential in communicating the clinician’s interest and concern. As the assessment includes a family member who is not yet verbal and able to tell their own story in words, observation of behaviour and interactions, of more than what is spoken, provides the clinician with rich information about the family system. Finally, the provision of information, advice and intervention should not precede a thorough understanding of the presenting issues.

The Interview

The goal of the interview is not only to gather information and objective data, but also to form a therapeutic relationship within which the problem can be understood and hopefully resolved. Whether a family is seen only once or for a series of contacts, the process of developing a therapeutic alliance often determines success in eliciting the facts of the history. Just as parenting is primarily about relationships, so contact with distressed families needs to be understood as a professional relationship within which the family can feel heard and understood, and therefore better able to care for their child.

It is important to be clear and direct about the purpose of the interview, professional roles and responsibilities, and any limits to confidentiality. Even when assessing concerns about child maltreatment or providing a medico-legal report, the importance of the therapeutic alliance cannot be underestimated. Listen carefully to the family: Why have they come? What are their concerns? What do they want help with?

During the interview, there are opportunities to observe the infant or toddler and their interactions with the adults. A unique aspect of assessing families with an infant or young child is that frequently the “patient” has no words to tell their side of the story. In this case, what is observed about the child, their behaviour, their responses and the interaction between family members is crucial in helping the clinician understand the child’s experience.

The clinician and parents can then construct a narrative or “story”—an account of the family’s experience with the child—to make sense of what is happening. This understanding or “version of the story” is often modified through the assessment and intervention, as development and change occur.

The History

During the assessment—ideally the child, both parents and other significant caregivers are present—the clinician explores with the family their hopes and fears, their expectations of themselves and this child, as well as their experience with medical and psychological services. Biological, psychological and socio-cultural information is collected to identify risk and protective factors in the child, parent(s), their relationships, and the social and cultural context. It

---

**Examples of risk and protective factors**

Tammy was born slightly premature and narcotic dependent as her mother had used heroin during pregnancy (biological risk). Her mother was thought to be unable to care for her because of ongoing substance use and Tammy was placed with her aunt and uncle when she was one month old (the quality of care she receives in this kinship placement will determine whether it is a developmental risk or a protective factor for Tammy). She was initially a very irritable and unsettled baby but then developed well and established an organised attachment to her aunt (psychologically protective).

When she was aged three, a severe tornado lashed the town where the family lived destroying the house (contextual risk). Although no one was hurt, the family had to live in a shelter for several months, the uncle lost his job and there were a lot of stressors, resulting in him becoming depressed (psychological/ relational risk). In order to find work they had to move from the area they had always lived in to another district where they were socially isolated (socio-cultural risk). They were a resourceful family and developed close relationships with other families who were also new to the town and who helped them settle in the new community (contextually protective).
can be helpful to allow the family to start by telling their story in their own way, rather than firing too many questions before they have been able to use their own words to explain what is concerning them.

**Attachment-Informed Assessment**

As noted above, formal assessment of attachment is not usually conducted in clinical settings, but an attachment-informed approach to assessment includes:

- The history of the conception, pregnancy and birth and any losses or significant difficulties for the family associated with this time.
- A history of the child’s attachments. It is important to focus on a chronological account of the significant attachment figures available to the child since birth, particularly disruptions in care, abandonment or losses, alternate caregivers, neglect of care and abuse. Availability of the current primary carer and contact with other caregivers should be noted, as well as the child’s behaviour with each, and response to changes of carer. In older children, relationships with peers and siblings should be described.
- Details and observations of the infant or child’s current behaviour. Of particular interest in relation to attachment quality and disruptions or disorder are:
  - Help or comfort-seeking behaviour, including response to pain or distress (e.g., who do they go to if they fall and hurt themselves; do they show distress; are they discriminating about who can comfort them? are they shy with strangers?)
Quality of interaction and ability to use caregiver or another adult for comfort, including ability to explore and play in a new setting, response to limit setting and the nature of the interaction with the clinician. This needs to be understood within a developmental framework. A six-month-old is less likely to show shyness or fear of strangers than a 12-month-old. A three-year-old may be able to use verbal information from the carer (e.g., “I am going out for a minute, I will be back soon”) to tolerate a short separation while a 15-month-old is less able to do this.

The Bio-Psycho-Social Framework

The infant is born with a genetic endowment, including what is often called temperament, and at birth has already been affected by their environment in utero (for example, the adequacy of nutrition, drug or alcohol exposure, prematurity or other medical illness) (see Chapter B.1). These are biological contributions to the presentation.

The quality of parenting may alleviate or exacerbate a child’s constitutional difficulties. This is often described as goodness of fit between parental expectations and capabilities and infant aptitudes and needs. It includes psychosocial and interpersonal factors, as well as biological aspects of the parents’ and infants’ health that affect their ability to meet their baby’s needs.

The place of the child in the family, including gender and birth order, the meaning of this child to these parents at this time in their lives and their place in the sociocultural context are also important. Information should be obtained...
about biological, psychological and social factors that have helped or hindered the family now and in the past.

**Biological Factors**

These include genetic vulnerability, past and current health, and any significant family history of illness. In the young child this includes intra-uterine exposure to drugs or other toxins, and other factors affecting development and physical health.

**Psychological and Relational Factors**

Intra-psychic factors, such as current psychiatric illness, personality issues and attachment style and interpersonal factors, such as the history and quality of current relationships.

**Social, Cultural and Contextual Factors**

Factors in the social context, the degree of cultural and social isolation or support, financial security and parental employment. Socioeconomic status is a

---

**Table A.4.2 Rating scales and questionnaires**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for 1.5 -5 yrs* (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000) | • Two questionnaires to assess adaptive and maladaptive functioning of 1½-5 year-olds. Rated by parents, day care providers and teachers  
• A recent international project using the CBCL identified consistencies in aggregations of emotional and behavioural problems in preschoolers across the 24 societies participating in the study (Ivanova et al, 2010; Rescorla et al, 2011). |
| *Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)* (Goodman, 1997) | • It rates 25 attributes, some positive and other negative. The SDQ has an impact supplement that helps in the assessment of impairment related to behaviours the child is presenting with. Parent and teacher versions for two to four year-olds in several languages  
• Free of charge |
| *The Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3)* (Squires & Bricker, 1999) | • Developed to identify infants and young children (0-5) with potential developmental problems. Five areas are screened: communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem solving, and personal-social. Completed by parents/carers  
• Proprietary |
| *The Ages and Stages Questionnaire: Social Emotional (ASQ:SE)* (Squires et al, 2003) | • A culturally versatile tool for clinicians to identify and monitor children at-risk for social, emotional and behavioural delays. The ASQ-SE rates a child’s development in the behavioural areas of self-regulation, compliance, communication, adaptive, autonomy, affect and interaction with people  
• Proprietary |
| *Preschool Age Psychiatric Assessment (PAPA)* (Egger & Angold, 2004) | • A structured parent interview for diagnosing psychiatric disorders in preschool children (two to five years old). Used as a research tool, it can be used in also clinical work.  
• Proprietary; formal training required. For more information |
| *The Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (PSI-SF)* (Abidin, 1995) | • Screens for stress in the parent-child relationship, dysfunctional parenting, parental behaviour problems and child adjustment difficulties within the family.  
• Available in several languages.  
• Proprietary. More information at |
powerful predictor of infant developmental outcome (Zeanah et al, 1997), but the
family's ability and willingness to access and use support is crucial. Factors to be
considered here, identified by Reder et al (2003), are outlined in the above text
box.

Transgenerational Issues in Parenting

Becoming a parent is a powerful trigger for feelings, thoughts and memories
about a person's own upbringing. Many aspects of parenting are determined by
how we were parented ourselves, who held us, how were we comforted, how our
needs were met. This information is stored in procedural memory, memory for
actions, not in verbal memory. The earliest experiences with our parents occurred
long before we were able to put emotions in words. As Winnicott (1987) puts it:
“… she was a baby once, and she has in her the memories of being a baby; she also
has memories of being cared for, and these memories either help or hinder her in
her own experience as a mother” (p 6).

Parents who experienced abuse or neglect as children enter parenthood at
a disadvantage. This is because of the abusive models of relationships they have
to draw on, the effect of early neglect or abuse on their own capacity for self-
regulation and reflection, and this is often associated with limited current family
and social support. Only about one third of children who have been abused go
on to be abusive parents (Egeland et al, 2002), but this is clearly a risk factor for
difficulties in parenting. Assessment of risk is discussed in more detail below.

Self report Measures and Semistructured Interviews

Besides the history and clinical observation of the child, questionnaires,
rating scales and structured interviews can be used to help in the assessment
process. Standardized instruments pose questions about the child’s behaviour that
can be easily rated. They are designed to be completed by parents, child-carers and

Assessing interaction

• A mother, who was having treatment for a postpartum psychosis, said proudly that she
  was breastfeeding her baby and it was going well. When the baby started moaning she
  picked him up and positioned him well to feel but did not open her shirt or give the baby
  access to her breast, just holding him against her shirt where the baby vainly attempted
  to latch onto the breast. The mother seemed unaware of his struggle until he grizzled
  loudly. She still did not open her shirt until the clinician suggested it.

• A two year old boy fell off the chair during the assessment and bumped his head quite
  hard. His mother had described him as “independent”. Instead of crying or going to his
  mother, he walked to the window and looked outside. It was striking to the interviewer
  that he did not seek parental comfort or show distress.

• A five year-old boy is brought by his mother to a consultation with a primary care
  psychologist. The boy was referred by his teacher because he was not able to do the
  activities proposed in class. He was always quiet and alone, refusing peers’ invitations
  to play. The mother could not understand his behaviour. In their second consultation,
  the psychologist invited the boy to play offering him some toys. The boy only could
  play when the mother came into the room and gave him verbal instructions about what
  to do. He only moved or changed toys after she gave him permission. After that, the
  psychologist enquired more about his habits and noticed that he was not allowed to do
  anything the mother had not planned.

For further opportunity to consolidate your learning please see self directed learning
exercises, Appendix Q2”.

Parents of infants and toddlers frequently
are worried about
developmental delays
and behaviours related to
autism spectrum disorders
(ASDs). It is important that
clinicians keep this in mind
to recognize the early signs
of ASDs; children with
ASD whose conditions are
diagnosed early and who
participate in appropriate
intervention programs have
better outcomes (Johnson
et al, 2007). The American
Academy of Pediatrics
has resources to support
paediatricians in the
identification and care of
children with ASD.
ASSESSING AND SUPPORTING INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PARENTS AND INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN

Even in a brief interview with a family, many observations can be made that provide information about the quality of the interaction and relationships. This is also a central component of risk assessment. Interactions reflect the parents’ nurturing capacity, their ability to respond sensitively and appropriately to their child’s cues as well as the child’s ability to indicate what they need and to accept and respond to parental care.

The daily routines of feeding, sleeping and changing are the setting for important social exchanges, and also times of increased risk for the child if the
Assessment of infants

The caregiving system is stressed or inadequate. What parents actually do is more important than what they say or think they do. This is what makes observation so important. A parents’ sensitivity to their baby or young child’s communications is central to the developing relationship between them and is predictive of the quality of attachment relationship that may be different with each parent. Observation of the parents’ responses to their child’s emotional signals and communications, and the parents’ capacity to interpret these and respond appropriately, is central to the assessment.

The behaviour of the parents and child while they are with you is as important as what is said. It is recommended that clinicians pay as much attention to what parents and infants are doing as to what they are telling you. With the infant in the room you will see how easily they settle, how responsive they are to parental voice
and touch, how they indicate their needs and how these are responded to. With a toddler present, you will learn a great deal about how free he feels to explore the room, how much proximity he seeks from his parent and the behaviours that gain parental attention. In addition, it is interesting how the infant or toddler relates to you. Are they initially shy but then become more sociable? Do they approach you immediately and engage more with you than with their parents? How do you make sense of these behaviours in the context of the whole assessment?

This depends on the capacity of the caregiver to be empathic, and to be attuned to the mind and experience of the child. It requires parents to reflect on their own experiences and inner state and to acknowledge their child as an experiencing being: to be with, rather than do things to, their child. This is known as reflective or mentalizing capacity.

**Reflective or Mentalizing Capacity**

Mentalizing or reflective capacity refers to the activity of understanding behaviour in relation to mental states, or “holding mind in mind” (Allen et al., 2008, p3). Mental states include thoughts, feelings and intentions; mentalizing involves “the capacity to think about feeling and to feel about thinking” in oneself and in others (Slade, 2005). Fonagy and colleagues (1991) proposed that the parent’s capacity to hold the child’s experience in mind is linked to the intergenerational transmission of attachment security (Slade et al., 2005).

There are formal assessments of reflective capacity available, for example the Parent Development Interview (PDI) (Slade, 2005). In relation to clinical assessment the focus is on the parents’ capacity to take the child’s perspective to appreciate that the child has an experience separate from their own. Children are at higher risk of maltreatment if parents consistently misperceive or misinterpret their behaviour (Howe, 2005).

**Semi-Structured Play Assessment**

A structured or semi-structured process for assessing the parent-child relationship can be useful. An example is the Modified Crowell Procedure (Crowell & Feldman, 1988), which was developed for use with children aged 12-60 months and takes between 30 and 45 minutes to administer. The parent is asked to undertake a series of activities with the child, some of which include mild frustration or difficulty. This usually includes: to play “as you would at home” (free play); to follow the child’s lead in the play; asking the child to clean up; playing with bubbles; a series of puzzles or problem-solving tasks; and a brief separation/reunion. At the end, the carer is asked how representative these interactions were of what happens at home. The purpose of this assessment is to observe the carer and child interacting together in a series of slightly different tasks as a way of identifying strengths and weaknesses in their relationship. The focus is on problem solving, play and enjoyment, and on an informal assessment of attachment. It gives an opportunity to observe the child’s persistence, their use of the carer for support, their ability and willingness to ask for help, their fine and gross motors skills, and the degree of enjoyment, ease and pleasure in the interactions. The quality and nature of each participant’s behaviour as well as of their interactions is important, as is the transition between tasks (e.g., do children have difficulty shifting from one activity to another? Is their attention span limited? Do they cooperate with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms of concern in young children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Very frequent tantrums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No tantrums at all, too quiet and compliant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Role reversal:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Controlling and punitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compulsive caregiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Self-soothing, masturbating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Self-harming, head banging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Persistent regression, loss of toileting, more clingy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Persistent precocity and over-maturity (little adult).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Toddler and preschool presentations are discussed further in Luby (2006) and Banaschewski (2010).
Rajni’s parents both used drugs and alcohol regularly after her birth and possibly also during the pregnancy. She was neglected, physically abused and there was considerable violence between the parents. She was removed from her parents aged 11 months after an unexplained leg fracture. At that time her milestones were a little delayed and she was small for her age. She was placed with an older relative who cared well for her and her growth and development improved.

When she was 2½ years, her carer developed cancer and Rajni was returned to her parents. Another period of neglect and exposure to violence followed. Rajni was again placed with a foster family when she was 3½. They reported frequent tantrums, often scratching and hitting her head. She hoarded and stole food and was indiscriminate socially, attaching herself to relative strangers, climbing on their laps and holding their hands, and she would “go blank” when told off or reprimanded or if there was a loud noise, particularly shouting or arguing.

Rajni’s difficulties could be understood as survival strategies she had developed in response to her early neglect and abuse. Her behaviour began to settle after a period in a safe and loving home environment but she remained sensitive to noise and had difficulties with sleeping, feeding and regulating her emotions.

For further opportunity to consolidate your learning please see self directed learning exercises in Appendix A.4.1.

---

**Relationship-Building Tools**

The Newborn Behavioural Observation (NBO) system (Nugent et al, 2007) is an example of a relationship-building tool developed for use by clinicians caring for families with newborn babies across multiple settings. It was devised at the Brazelton Institute in the US informed by 25 years of research with the Neonatal Behavioural Assessment Scale (Brazelton 1973; Brazelton & Nugent, 1995).

The NBO is an infant-focussed and family-centred observational tool. It is conducted with the active collaboration of the parents and aims to describe the infant’s competences and individuality. It consists of 18 behavioural and reflex tasks that examine the newborn’s physiological, motor and social capacities over the first 3 months of life (Nugent, 2015). It is performed jointly by the clinician and parents and provides an opportunity for professionals and parents to collaboratively observe and interpret the newborn’s behaviours. The clinician’s reflections and comments are also a key supporting aspect of the process. In general, the NBO aims to help parents to read their newborn’s communication cues, and to promote and foster a positive parent-infant relationship from the beginning. There is emerging evidence that the NBO may improve parent-infant relationship, support the treatment of postnatal depression and anxiety and may increase fathers’ involvement in the early months of parenting (e.g., McManus & Nugent, 2012). More information regarding the NBO can be found [here](#).
DEVELOPMENTAL ASSESSMENT

A developmental assessment can be included when appropriate as part of the therapeutic intervention. The assessment will vary depending on the purpose of the assessment, the clinician's skill and the family's needs and concerns. Involving parents in the assessment process provides them with useful information about their child's abilities and needs and also allows the clinician to see what use parents make of this information. More information about infant and child development can be found in Chapter A.2. Some of the instruments used for developmental assessments include:

- The Neonatal Behavioural Assessment Scale (NBAS) (Brazelton & Nugent, 1995). The NBAS was designed to capture the early behavioural responses of infants to their environment, before their behaviour is shaped by parental care. Brazelton's and Nugent's assumption is that a baby is both competent and complexly organised and an active participant in the interaction with caregivers. The assessment seeks to help understand the infant's side of the interaction.

- The Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID) (Bayley, 1993). Applicable to children 1-42 months of age, it provides information about the child's language development, problem-solving skills, gross and fine motor development, attentional capacity, social engagement, affect and emotion, and the quality of the child's movement and motor control.

- The Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI) (Wechsler, 2002). A neuropsychological assessment that can be useful for children from 30 months of age onwards. It evaluates children's verbal comprehension, perception, organization and processing speed abilities, giving clinicians a developmental perspective of the child's intelligence.

- The Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (Sparrow et al., 1984). This is a parent interview that obtains information on children's adaptive functioning in real-life situations covering the domains of daily skills, communication, socialization, motor functioning, and maladaptive behaviour.

FORMULATION

The aim of assessment is to understand why this family is presenting with this problem at this time, and what are the impediments or obstacles that have prevented them from resolving their difficulties without professional help. This information forms the basis for what is called a formulation. Formulation is an integrative statement that provides an aetiological understanding of the problem and of the factors contributing to the presentation. It can take different forms, but ideally includes consideration of bio-psycho-social and cultural factors. This summary informs the development of a comprehensive intervention plan. Another way of thinking about formulation is to identify or organise the information obtained in the assessment into what can be called the 4 Ps (see Box).

Ideally, during the process of assessment, the family and clinician come to a new, shared understanding—a story—about the meaning and nature of the presenting difficulties and also the way forward. Developing an intervention and

The 4 Ps

This is a way of summarising the factors contributing to the family's presentation:

- **Predisposing:** what made this family vulnerable?
- **Precipitating:** why have they come now?
- **Perpetuating:** what makes it hard for things to get better?
- **Protective:** what strengths can we identify and build on in our intervention in the child, the family and the social and cultural context?
anticipating prognosis requires the clinician to think about and identify protective factors and resources that can be built on. As discussed above the assessment process in families with infants and young children have the added complexity of identifying and addressing the needs of family members who are not yet verbal. More information regarding formulation can be found in Chapter A.10, including a case example in Appendix A.10.1.

**DIAGNOSIS IN INFANCY AND EARLY CHILDHOOD**

There are many challenges to developing and using diagnostic and classificatory systems of disorder for infants and young children. This is a period of very rapid development and change. Therefore, distinguishing between a transient delay or disruption and an ongoing disorder can be difficult and requires time and skill. In addition, the focus of assessment, as outlined above, is on relationships and interactions, while classificatory systems such as DSM or ICD focus primarily on the individual rather than on relationships and context. Young children are very responsive to and dependent on the support they receive and therefore may behave and function quite differently in different settings, for example with familiar adults at home and at child care. Babies and toddlers have little or no language for their subjective experience and therefore assessment relies on the history provided by caregivers and the clinician’s skill in observation and in integrating information from a range of sources and contexts. In addition, the assessment of relational quality is a core element of assessing immediate, ongoing or cumulative risk to the baby or young child and this may include identifying any mental or physical health difficulties and diagnosis in the parents that may impact on their capacity to adequately care for the child. Last, but not least, psychiatric diagnoses are associated with considerable stigma in most societies so, at what age should young children be given a diagnosis?

That said, establishing a diagnosis that helps explain, at least in part, an infant or young child’s difficulties can be helpful for the family and contributes to a more complete formulation. Diagnosis can indicate which treatment is appropriate and, ideally, which treatments are supported by evidence. It can facilitate communication between the various professionals taking care of the child. A diagnostic classification for mental health problems in infants, toddlers and preschool children has recently been updated. It includes an approach to defining relational difficulties in early childhood (Zeanah & Lieberman, 2016).

**INFANTS AND PARENTS IN MEDICAL SETTINGS**

**An Infant Mental Health Approach**

In medical settings, the child’s symptoms are the focus of investigation but paediatricians need to be mindful of the parents as well. In other words, in paediatricians’ clinical work the infant is treated but parents are also a focus of attention and support. The meeting between parents, baby and paediatrician is unique. The child displays symptoms with changes in behaviour and parents interpret them to paediatricians. The transfer of this information can be difficult and confusing. A lot depends on parents’ interpretation of the infant’s symptoms and on the infant’s physical and mental state, along with other factors.
In paediatric practice, diagnostic methods and therapy generally focus on organic conditions but, in many cases, especially in regulation disorders (e.g., problems of feeding or sleeping, extreme crying, etc.) a bio-psycho-social approach is required to adequately understand the presenting difficulties. It can be frightening for parents when the baby’s behaviour changes, so they consult the paediatrician. It is helpful if the paediatrician has basic mental health skills to recognise how the interaction between parent and infant can contribute to abnormal symptoms in the baby and, in turn, how this may alter how a parent perceives and communicates about their infant (Hinshaw et al., 2000). Paediatricians need to listen carefully about the specific problem parents and baby are presenting and provide relevant information to parents in a sensitive and supportive way (Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health et al., 2009; 2012).

Parents consult a paediatrician because the child’s behaviour is of concern to them and expect treatment, explanation and reassurance. Ascertaining the factors producing the symptoms often requires a multidisciplinary approach. Furthermore, a special awareness is needed to define the symptoms and to communicate effectively with the parents. This is particularly true in disorders of regulation (Mares et al., 2011). The paediatrician may find problems difficult to manage when the symptoms observed in the child are inconsistent with those described by the parent. Feeding problems, for example, rank highly in this group—no clear medical explanation can be found in more than 80% of cases (Cole et al., 2011)—and yet the infant is symptomatic and the parents anxious and concerned. Parents’ complaints must be taken seriously because if they think that there is a problem, then there is a problem (Kerzner et al., 2015). Parental anxiety and infant distress can become self-perpetuating. We call this a “misjudged symptom” in the infant and suggest conceptualizing the infant’s symptom in the context of parents’ complaints and concerns. The symptom is real enough but often not fully explained by a medical cause, thus it may not need actual therapy, only changes in the infant’s lifestyle, and reassurance and support for the parents. A functional rather than an organic explanation is assumed and the persistence of...
Lola was 4 months old when she was admitted to hospital. She refused to drink breast feeding milk by nursing bottle and formula was tried. Her weight had stagnated leading to a growth deficiency. The relationship between her mother and grandmother was difficult and her grandfather had passed away. Her father's parents were alcoholic and not supportive. Lola's parent's got on well, understanding and supporting each other. Lola's birth was uneventful and breast feeding was not problematic initially.

After two months Lola's appetite lessened and her food intake decreased. The mother tried to force breast-feeding even when the baby resisted. Finally Lola accepted only 20-80 ml on each feeding and she was fed 5-6 times per day. Her behaviour changed and she became lethargic and unresponsive.

In hospital Lola showed escalating distress when feeding. Nursing assistance was needed during mother’s attempts to force the baby to take the breast and feed; each feed took a long time. The mother became increasingly anxious and fraught. She lost self-confidence, thought she was not a good mother and was concerned that her baby might die.

During the medical assessment it was suspected that gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) contributed to the baby’s symptoms. Treatment for GORD began but unfortunately Lola needed supplementary tube feeding because her intake of breast milk was inadequate. The therapy included parallel parent-infant consultation alongside breast feeding support and medical treatment of GORD.

Topics for discussion with parents included:

- Their fears about Lola's sickness
- Understanding the cues and feelings about their baby
- Reducing expectations about breast feeding intake
- A focus on the enjoyment instead of on the amount taken during each feed
- Helping parents believe in their child’s health and their own care for her.

Lola was released from hospital continuing to breast feed and with nasogastric tube feeding and close follow up. The baby pulled out the tube, which was replaced, and vomited many times. Every time this happened a parent-infant consultation also occurred. These events became gradually less frequent. Lola gained weight, breast feeding intake improved and tube feeding decreased. Lola’s behaviour also changed: smiling more often, crying, moving and sleeping less. Her parent’s fears decreased. After two months the nasogastric tube could be removed.

This is example illustrates the need to identify and treat the organic or biological (GORD) problem, the functional aspect (distress and breast refusal) and relational issues (high parental anxiety, force feeding) to solve Lola’s growth faltering and breast feeding problems.
the symptoms is understood to result from maladaptive coping in the parent or infant or some difficulty in their relationship (Mares et al, 2011).

Approaching the child’s pathological symptoms and/or the parents’ complaints from a bio-psycho-social model is effective in recognising, evaluating and treating presentations where parental responses to their symptomatic infant contribute to an infant with persisting distress. Paediatricians benefit from acquiring basic competencies in infant mental health (Briggs et al, 2007), and from working in collaboration with a multidisciplinary team when organic and functional disorders co-occur (Sharp et al, 2017). Early recognition of such disorders when there are particular concerns that parental factors contribute to the infant’s symptoms can be improved by using screening tests such as the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (Töreki et al, 2014) which rates parental anxiety and depression, or the Coping Health Inventory for Parents, which rates parents’ efforts in coping with health issues in the family (McCubbin et al, 1983).

Table A.4.3 Indicators that an infant/child is at possible physical, psychological or developmental risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the infant/child</th>
<th>In the parents</th>
<th>In the context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Failure to thrive</td>
<td>• Inability to recognise or prioritise the child’s needs</td>
<td>• No other available and protective adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Failure to meet expected milestones</td>
<td>• Untreated or inadequately treated psychiatric illness or substance abuse</td>
<td>• Significant cultural or social isolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypervigilant or startling easily</td>
<td>• Lack of insight and lack of engagement with treatment services</td>
<td>• Minimal social supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Excessively quiet and withdrawn</td>
<td>• Child incorporated in parental delusional system, including positive delusions</td>
<td>• Domestic/family or community violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marked aggression in a toddler</td>
<td>• Insensitivity to child’s signals and needs (emotionally unavailable)</td>
<td>• Multiple social risks (e.g., homelessness, itinerancy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Basic needs not met</td>
<td>• Thoughts of self-harm or fear of harming child</td>
<td>• Chronic stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Role reversal or caregiving behaviour towards parent</td>
<td>• Scared of infant, ignores infants cries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emotion regulation problems</td>
<td>• Frightening or looming behaviour, rough handling of infant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unexplained bruising or medical injury</td>
<td>• Hostile or negative attributions (“he is out to get me”)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unrealistic developmental expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of parenting skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inability to recognise or prioritise the child’s needs</td>
<td>• Untreated or inadequately treated psychiatric illness or substance abuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypervigilant or startling easily</td>
<td>• Lack of insight and lack of engagement with treatment services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Excessively quiet and withdrawn</td>
<td>• Child incorporated in parental delusional system, including positive delusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marked aggression in a toddler</td>
<td>• Insensitivity to child’s signals and needs (emotionally unavailable)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Basic needs not met</td>
<td>• Thoughts of self-harm or fear of harming child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Role reversal or caregiving behaviour towards parent</td>
<td>• Scared of infant, ignores infants cries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emotion regulation problems</td>
<td>• Frightening or looming behaviour, rough handling of infant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unexplained bruising or medical injury</td>
<td>• Hostile or negative attributions (“he is out to get me”)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unrealistic developmental expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of parenting skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developed by Nicholas Kowalenko, Sarah Mares, Louise Newman, Anne Sved Williams, Rosalind Powrie, and Karin van Doesum.
ASSESSING RISK IN INFANCY AND EARLY CHILDHOOD

Assessment of risk of harm is an implicit—and sometimes explicit—aspect of every assessment of infants, young children and their caregivers. In many countries, health workers are required by law to report children who are at risk of abuse or neglect. Like all other assessments, risk assessment requires a detailed history, observation of relationships, and information from a range of sources. Risk to the infant or to the relationship with the infant occurs whenever the caregiver’s resources are overstretched. In considering risk in this developmental period we are considering risk within a relationship. Infants can also be at risk developmentally or physically because of medical illness or prematurity, but the caregiving relationship and the social context of that relationship are major determinants of the psychological outcome for the child whatever their physical and developmental status.

There are various degrees and types of risk, ranging from physical illness or disability in the infant, to those associated with child abuse and neglect. As well as prematurity and medical illness, factors that contribute to developmental risk include the child’s temperament, exposure to early adversities including problems with attachment, parental mental illness, exposure to violence, socioeconomic status, poverty and adolescent parenthood (Zeanah et al, 1997). For children in developing countries the developmental risks often include social determinants such as poverty as well as infections and nutritional diseases (WHO).

When meeting with a family, the focus is on the assessment of risk to the child within the caregiving relationship. When one or both parents have psychiatric illness, history of substance abuse, or the domestic situation is unsafe, it is also necessary to assess the risk (of self-harm or violence) to the child’s caregivers. When the caregiver is at risk, the child is also at indirect risk because of the centrality

**Factors that promote resilience in the child** (Ferguson & Horwood, 2003)

- A well-functioning parent or other involved adult
- Social supports
- Professional intervention when it is indicated
- Consistency in other relationships and activities
- Having a skill or talent.

**Types of risk**

- Risk to the child’s immediate physical or emotional safety
- Risk to the child’s optimal development. This acknowledges the importance of early experience for later outcome. Genetic, in-utero, and physical factors such as illness may be present
- Indirect risk, such as repeated separation from a parent hospitalised with a psychiatric or medical illness. Parental mental health problems are a significant risk factor.
- Cumulative risk occurs when a child and family are exposed to multiple risk factors. For example, a premature infant born to a young single mother with a narcotic addiction with little family support is clearly at greater risk than a premature infant with similar medical and biological risk factors, born to a couple with adequate financial and practical support.
- The greatest developmental risks within the parenting relationship are those that operate long term, for example:
  - Chronic neglect
  - Chronic instability in the family’s personal and social circumstances
  - Exposure to parental personality disorder or dysfunction and ongoing mental health problems
  - Ongoing hostility towards the child
  - Maltreatment.
of the caregiving relationship to the child's wellbeing. In addition exposure to family violence has similar impacts to child maltreatment. Therefore domestic violence, even in the absence of violence towards the child, represents a significant developmental risk. The cumulative developmental impact of multiple risk factors must also be considered (Appleyard et al, 2005).

Types of Risk

In general, risk can be defined as the probability of an event occurring, including consideration of the losses and gains associated with it. In the context of infant development and child protection, risk assessment is not free from cultural and moral judgements. There is a high degree of uncertainty when predicting risk in child-protection matters and inevitably this contributes to the anxiety felt when assessing risk by even very experienced clinicians working in this area.

Children who have been abused or neglected may have physical, emotional and behavioural sequelae, which will make caring for them more difficult. For example, traumatised children may continue to show avoidant or disruptive behaviour for some time after being placed in safe fostering environments. Abuse and neglect may have long-term effects on the child’s understanding of feelings and relationships. A child with brain damage after head trauma may have long-term physical and emotional symptoms, meaning that caring for them is particularly difficult and challenging. This presents parents (including foster and adoptive parents) with challenges that they may not have anticipated, requiring more patience or perseverance than with a less traumatised child.

Infants in high-risk situations are more likely to develop insecure or disorganised attachment relationships with their caregivers. There is evidence that disorganised attachment during infancy is linked to emotional and behavioural difficulties in childhood, adolescence, and adult life. Therefore, although an infant may not be at an immediate physical risk, an erratic, neglectful or unstable caregiving environment is a threat to their social and emotional development. In child neglect, chronic unresponsiveness to the child's physical or emotional needs can have profound developmental consequences but may be harder to detect than physical abuse. Unfortunately, many infants at risk suffer both neglect and abuse.

CONCLUSIONS

Assessment of families with infants and young children occurs in a variety of contexts and for many different reasons. Nonetheless, a comprehensive assessment should always include a relational and developmental focus, with consideration of both strengths and vulnerabilities that parents’ and child bring to their current circumstances, and attention to biopsychosocial factors that help or hinder the family at this time of rapid developmental change.

A working alliance between the family and the clinician supports any proposed interventions. Concerns about the immediate or long-term safety of the child or caregivers need to be addressed openly and directly with the caregivers and referring agency. Appropriate intervention must follow, and processes put in place for monitoring the ongoing safety and wellbeing of all family members.

All assessments of young children involve consideration of risk. The notion of risk in infancy and early childhood is complex and multifactorial. It includes
consideration of immediate risks to child and parent safety, the impact of single and cumulative risk factors, and the notion of developmental risk and psychopathology following early adversity. The vulnerability and dependence of young children on the availability of their caregivers means that risk is always considered within the caregiving context and threats to the safety of either or both parents inevitably impacts on the child’s wellbeing.

Risk increases whenever the child’s needs outweigh the capacity of the carers and their supports to meet these needs. As described, this can occur because of factors in the child, the caregiving system (parents), or the social context, and many at-risk children and families have vulnerabilities in all three areas.

Situations of high risk are distressing for all concerned, particularly when the clinician recommends the removal of an infant or young child from their home. A comprehensive assessment that includes a careful history, consideration of the coherence of the history provided, observation of interactions between child and caregiver(s), and corroborative history are central to an adequate assessment of risk. This ensures that decisions are based on sound information obtained from a variety of sources and are made in the best interests of the child and the family.

Additional Resources

- There is a free online course about the importance of early relationships to infant development available from Warwick University in the UK.
- World Association for Infant Mental Health
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The painting shows how Australian aboriginal women are supported during pregnancy by their relatives and community health services with a focus on a healthy diet.
SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING EXERCISES

• List the important milestones/achievements in the emotional development of infants up to 4 years of age.

• Consider each of these vignettes about assessing parent-infant interactions:

  A mother, who was having treatment for a postpartum psychosis, said proudly that she was breastfeeding her baby and it was going well. When the baby started moaning she picked him up and positioned him well to feel but did not open her shirt or give the baby access to her breast, just holding him against her shirt where the baby vainly attempted to latch onto the breast. The mother seemed unaware of his struggle until he grizzled loudly. She still did not open her shirt until the clinician suggested it.

  Please make notes about the potential impact of the mother’s mental illness on her interaction and relationship with the baby:
  – What might it be like for the infant?
  – How would you address it during the assessment?

  A two year old boy fell off the chair during the assessment and bumped his head quite hard. His mother had described him as “independent”. Instead of crying or going to his mother, he walked to the window and looked outside. It was striking to the interviewer that he did not seek parental comfort or show distress.

  Please make notes about what this young boy has learnt about managing his distress.
  – How does attachment theory may help you to understand this?
  – Does his “independence” raise any developmental concerns?

  A five year-old boy is brought by his mother to a consultation with a primary care psychologist. The boy was referred by his teacher because he was not able to do the activities proposed in class. He was always quiet and alone, refusing peers’ invitations to play. The mother could not understand his behaviour. In their second consultation, the psychologist invited the boy to play offering him some toys. The boy only could play when the mother came into the room and gave him verbal instructions about what to do. He only moved or changed toys after she gave him “permission”. After that, the psychologist enquired more about his habits and noticed that he was not allowed to do anything the mother had not planned.

  This 5 year old appears restricted and over-compliant in his behavior including with peers:
  – What are the pros and cons of this for a child?
  – Does this need to be addressed therapeutically and how would you go about it?

• Observe a child under the age of 3 years in a play setting (e.g., at a park, childcare centre or playgroup—with permission from the parent or guardian) and write a one-page summary of your observations:

  – What do you notice about how the child relates to the caregiver/s?
  – How does the infant let the caregiver know that they are needed?
  – If the infant is hurt, do they become distressed?
  – Will the child let others comfort her?
  – How does the caregiver respond to the infant’s communications?
• Consider the interactions you have observed in the context of what you know about attachment styles and about the developmental age of the infant. What information does this interaction give you about the attachment relationship between the infant and the caregiver?

• Interview a family with a toddler or pre-schooler who is described as having “behavioural problems”.
  - Summarise your findings in a short note. Pay particular attention to the early attachments, relationships, and developmental history of this child and family. Consider how these experiences may have shaped and influenced the child’s behaviour.
  - After the assessment, how would you describe to the family and to the child’s day care teachers about the possible reasons for the behavioural problems? What suggestions would you make to support parents and teachers? Write this down briefly.

• Identify and note risk and protective factors for this child. What are the potential long-term consequences of her experiences (for assistance refer to Tammy’s story on page 9).

  Rajni’s parents both used drugs and alcohol regularly after her birth and possibly also during the pregnancy. She was neglected, physically abused and there was considerable violence between the parents. She was removed from her parents aged 11 months after an unexplained leg fracture. At that time her milestones were a little delayed and she was small for her age. She was placed with an older relative who cared well for her and her growth and development improved.

  When she was 2½ years, her carer developed cancer and Rajni was returned to her parents. Another period of neglect and exposure to violence followed. Rajni was again placed with a foster family when she was 3½. They reported frequent tantrums, often scratching and hitting her head. She hoarded and stole food and was indiscriminate socially, attaching herself to relative strangers, climbing on their laps and holding their hands, and she would “go blank” when told off or reprimanded or if there was a loud noise, particularly shouting or arguing.

  Rajni’s difficulties could be understood as survival strategies she had developed in response to her early neglect and abuse. Her behaviour began to settle after a period in a safe and loving home environment but she remained sensitive to noise and had difficulties with sleeping, feeding and regulating her emotions.

• Observe a Strange Situation Procedure – if it is not possible to observe it live, watch this short clip.
  - Try and put yourself in the child’s position and imagine what these experiences would feel like
  - Consider what you observe from the perspective of attachment theory. Make notes on what you have observed.
MCQ A.4.1 Which ones of the following attachment patterns are considered organised in the ABC+D classification?

A. Avoidant /Insecure  
B. Secure  
C. Ambivalent/Insecure  
D. Disoriented  
E. All of the above

MCQ A.4.2 Who originally developed the key concepts of attachment theory?

A. Mary Main  
B. John Bowlby  
C. Donald Winnicott  
D. Mary Ainsworth  
E. Sigmund Freud

MCQ A.4.3 Which is the universally accepted clinical and diagnostic protocol for assessing attachment in young children in clinical situations?

A. It does not exist  
B. The Strange Situation Procedure  
C. The Macarthur Story Stem Battery  
D. The Modified Crowell Procedure  
E. The clinical interview

MCQ A.4.4 The key developmental milestones in the first four years include:

A. Language  
B. Development of attachment relationships  
C. Mobility  
D. Symbolic play  
E. All of the above

MCQ A.4.5 Ideally, an attachment-focused parent-infant assessment would not include:

A. Observation of the infant’s behaviour, particularly in the context of their infant-caregiver relationships  
B. Exclusion of the infant from the assessment process  
C. A history of the infant’s attachments  
D. Consideration of the developmental context to the presentation  
E. Risk assessment

MCQ A.4.6 A person’s experience of being parented influences their parenting behaviour.

A. True  
B. False

MCQ A.4.7 It is essential to observe the interactions between infant and caregiver, including observation of daily routines such as feeding, changing and settling, to understand the quality of the relationship.

A. True  
B. False –the parent can explain everything  
C. Only during feeding  
D. Only during times of distress  
E. Observing interaction and play during the assessment can also be helpful

MCQ A.4.8 Which one of the following experiences poses the greatest developmental risk to a child?

A. Episodic arguments between parents  
B. Staying with a grandparent overnight  
C. Chronic neglect  
D. Parent forgetting to pick up the child from day care  
E. Having a physically ill sibling
ANSWERS

MCQ A.4.1  A,B,C  (note that infants may appear disoriented during the Strange Situation Procedure but this is not considered an attachment pattern. Refer to page 4 and Chapter A.2).

MCQ A.4.2  B
MCQ A.4.3  A
MCQ A.4.4  E
MCQ A.4.5  B
MCQ A.4.6  A
MCQ A.4.7  A,E
MCQ A.4.8  C